

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,370	07/21/2003	Yuk-Tong Lee	252011-1580 9218		
47390	7590 08/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	KAYDEN, HOSTEM RIA PARKWAY	GARLAND, STEVEN R			
SUITE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 30339		2125		

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/624,370	LEE ET AL.		
			Examiner	Art Unit		
			Steven R. Garland	2125		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply				-	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commular period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DAT f 37 CFR 1.136(inication. utory period will rill, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron suse the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communica (ED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2th Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	b)⊡ This a or allowanc	ction is non-final. e except for formal matters, pr		is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restricti on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to	e withdrawn on and/or e Examiner. a) accep ion to the dra he correction	election requirement. ted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. Sen is required if the drawing(s) is ot	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.12		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pino(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,370 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-19 are pending.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Examples are given below.

Claim 1, line 21, "the analog signal" lacks a proper antecedent basis. Claim 7 has a similar problem.

Claim 1, lines 20-21, "the semiconductor process status information from the analog signal" lacks a clear antecedent basis. Note it is suggested that in line 18 that "equipment" be changed to --process--. Further note that claim 1 is directed to acquiring semiconductor process status information by lines 1-2 and line 24 not equipment status as in line 18. Claim 7 and 13 have a similar problem.

In claim 4, line 2, consistent terminology should be used for the status information to avoid confusion. It is suggested that "equipment" be changed to --process- to agree with the parent claim. Claims 5 and 6 have a similar problem.

In claim 5, the phrase "wherein standard direct sensor input of the semiconductor equipment status information is thermocouple (J, K, T, E, R,

Application/Control Number: 10/624.370

Art Unit: 2125

S, B type) or RTD (Pt, Ni, Balco)" is unclear. It is suggested that the phrase be changed to --wherein standard direct sensor input of the semiconductor process status information is from a thermocouple--.

Page 3

In claim 6, line 3, the meaning of the phrase "is a high or low (0/1)" is unclear. It is suggested that "(0/1)" be deleted.

The other claims have problems similar to the various examples given above.

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claims 5, 11, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. what is met by the phrase RTD (Pt, Ni, Balco) is not adequately described on page 6, line 12 which is the only place other than the claims that this phrase is mentioned. The meaning of this phrase requires great speculation on the part of the examiner as to what is being claimed, since it is not adequately described.
- 6. Due to the indefiniteness of what is being claimed no art rejection is applied to claims 5,11, and 18. See In re Steele 134 USPQ 292.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claim 13, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen 5,956,523 in view of Lee et al. 6,230,080.

Chen teaches a computer connected to a communication system in which a command from the computer is converted using a RS232 interface(first protocol) then converted to a second protocol (RS485), then to a third protocol (RS232). See abstract; figures; col. 1, lines 31-62; col. 2, lines 26-50; and the claims.

Chen however does not specifically provide a DA/AD converter to convert between analog data and the RS232 protocol.

Lee teaches converting between an analog signal and a digital RS232 interface using AD conversion and various voltage and current ranges. See the figures and col. 3, lines 14-26.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,370

Art Unit: 2125

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen in view of Lee and convert between the analog and digital domains using AD and DA conversion so that data flows in both direction.

Page 5

- 10. Claims 1 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 11. Claims 2-4,6,8-10,12,14, and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee et al. 2004/0139210; Mullen, Jr. 6,208,904; and Teramura et al. 6,535,938 are all of interest in protocol conversion.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Garland whose telephone number is 571-272-3741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,370

Art Unit: 2125

25

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

L.P.P.

SAL

Steven R Garland

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2125

**

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100